LESSONS FROM THE ROAD PROJECT FOR FUTURE DEPLOYMENT OF CCS

GHGT-14, Melbourne, Session 1C Large scale Integrated Projects - Experience

Andy Read, Chris Gittins, Jan Uilenreef, Tom Jonker, Filip Neele, Stefan Belfroid, Earl Goetheer & Ton Wildenborg (presenter)







CONTENTS

- > The ROAD CCS chain
- > The ROAD history
- Highlights & lessons learned:
 - Capture & compression
 - Transport & storage
 - Permitting
- Conclusions



ROAD OBJECTIVE





- Demonstrate the technical and economic feasibility of a large-scale, integrated CCS chain deployed on power generation
- ROAD was a joint project by E.ON Benelux and Electrabel Nederland (now Uniper Benelux and Engie Nederland, respectively)



Wealth of data, a summary of which is included in 11 online reports: <u>https://ccsnetwork.eu/projects/road-project-rotterdam</u>





MAASVLAKTE POWER PLANT 3 (MPP3)

- MPP3 includes a pulverized-coal fired supercritical boiler (285 bar, 600 °C)
- > Net electrical output is 1,069 MW
- Annual emission of CO₂: 5.7 Mt (base load operation)
- Carbon capture ready (TÜV Nord certified)



CAPTURE & COMPRESSION

- Designed capacity of 250 MWe equivalent
- 1.1 Mt CO₂ captured per year
- Fairy conventional layout of post-combustion capture amine process (Fluor)
- Design characteristics of a full-scale commercial plant:
 - Design life of 126,000 operating hours over 20 yrs
 - Ramp rates similar to power plant (up to 5%/minute)
 - Turndown to 40% capture rate
- P18-4 gas reservoir: 8 stage compressor to 129 bar
- Q16-Maas gas reservoir: 4 stage compressor to 19-22 bar





Carbon Dioxide: Pressure - Enthalpy Diagram



TRANSPORT & STORAGE





P18-4 gas reservoir

- > Pipeline capacity of 5 Mtpa
- Max design pressure of 140 bar
- Reservoir storage capacity of 8 Mt
- > 20 km off the coast
- > Depth about 3.5 km below the seabed

Q16-Maas condensate gas reservoir

- Pipeline capacity of ≥ 6 Mtpa
- Max design pressure 40 bar
- > Final compression to around 80 bar at injection site
- Reservoir storage capacity of 2 to 4 Mt
- Just offshore the Maasvlakte
- > Deviated well to reservoir at 3 km depth



ROAD HISTORY







2009: Start feasibility and FEED studies 2012: Project developed to FID & permitting completed Collapse of carbon price and no economic FID possible In slow mode, reducing funding gap 2013: P18-4 irrevocable storage permit granted 2014: New funding structure & alternative store Q16-2016: Remobilisation of project 2017: Updated design of capture installation Industry partners pulled out because of lack of political and financial support





Power plant integration

- CO₂ from stripper cooled with power plant feedwater
- Steam ejector in power plant for efficient capture at part load
- Direct Contact Cooler condense water re-used for de-SOx







Process performance & capture efficiency

- Capture efficiency 90% at full load
- Can be maintained at 90% or higher at part load
- > Use of electricity and steam by capture plant single biggest cost
- > Total (equivalent) electricity consumption of 58.4 MW (~ 23% of capacity)
 - Including all compression power
 - Including 3 MW of off-design operation
 - > Excluding electricity consumption of WESP
 - Excluding additional consumption due to less efficient compression at Q16-Maas
- > Details confidential because of Fluor trade secret
- > Space for further performance improvement





Wet electrostatic precipitator (WESP)

- Removing (SO₃) aerosols before absorber to prevent high solvent emissions
- > Up to 99% may need to be removed ; the actual level is uncertain.
- A lower specification of 90% included in the design with space for an optional 2nd WESP
- Location after the Direct Contact Cooler to minimize the risk of converting SO₂ to SO₃

Improved solvent management package

- Iron leaching into the solvent from the coal ash and Iron catalysed corrosion of the steel
- Testing of solutions at Wilhelmshaven pilot, e.g. Iron removal, improved reclaimer and filters
- Fluor developed a successful proprietary package after 2,00 hours test run at the Wilhelmshaven pilot

HIGHLIGHTS: TRANSPORT & STORAGE

- Two-phase flow and transient conditions in pipeline potentially causing:
 - Slugging in the pipeline
 - Thermal effects in well and reservoir
- > Preliminary calculations of slugging risk
 - Likely no harm to equipment
 - Minimize by emptying the pipeline during shutdowns
 - Maintain low flow rate in initial phase
- Injection of cold CO₂ in P18-4 reservoir
 - Propagation of fractures may occur at temperature difference of more than 100 °C
 - Detailed analysis (TOUGH2/ECO2M) for P18-4 showed no fracturing as a result of injecting cold CO₂





CHALLENGING PERMITTING REQUIREMENTS





- Conditions for transfer of responsibility
 - > All available evidence indicates complete and permanent containment
 - > A minimum period elapses until above point has been met (default 20 yrs)
 - > Financial obligations have been fulfilled
 - Site is sealed and installations removed
- > 20 year period is very costly
- > Unknown how 20 year period can be reduced
- > Unknown if transfer could be postponed
- > Unwanted uncertainty on the EUA price

> Much uncertainty on the handover criteria in particular for demoprojects





- > Capture, transport and storage technology is available and will work.
- FID-ready, permitted capture plant design for coal-fired power plant at 250 MWe scale
- > Successful design of interfaces along the whole power chain
- Handling of injection of CO₂ into low pressure depleted gas reservoirs successfully engineered
- Regulatory barriers to permitting successfully overcome
- First CO₂ storage permit under the CCS Directive granted in TAQA's P18-4 gas field





- Future CCS project developers are recommended to stay close to the research community to ensure state-of-the art engineering
- Design of transport requires careful tuning to the storage location and characteristics
- Experience points the way forward for the future use of depleted gas reservoirs for storage
- Requirements for monitoring, financial security and transfer of responsibility may change over time and thus represent a big uncertainty.
- Strong national support is necessary to overcome these uncertainties and requires early start of collaboration with the authorities.





CO-FINANCED BY:

- > The European Commission
- > The Netherlands Government
- Global CCS Institute
- Port of Rotterdam

> THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION

TNO.NL/ECNPARTOFTNO

ECN > TNO innovation for life

CCS

THE ROAD CCS CHAIN INTEGRATED IN THE MPP3



ECN > **TNO** innovation for life